

## CORRIGENDA

Authors Alan M. Blyth and John Latham have reported an error in their note, "A climatological parameterization for cumulus clouds" that appeared in the 1 November 1991 issue of *J. Atmos. Sci.*, Vol. 48, No. 21, pp. 2367–2371, as follows:

We are grateful to Dr. Chylek for identifying the misprints in Eqs. (1) and (2) of our paper, where  $r_{ad}$  should be expressed as follows:

$$r_{eff} = r_{ad} = \left( \frac{3}{4\pi\rho_w} \right)^{1/3} (L_{ad}/N_{ad})^{1/3}.$$

The same equation is also in error in the abstract.

We further note that values of  $L/L_{ad}$  in Table 2 are in error. The correct ratio can be calculated from the values of  $L$  and  $L_{ad}$  in the preceding columns.

Dr. Chylek also pointed to an inconsistency between the  $N$  that appears in the table and how it is described on p. 2368. It is stated there "We see that the measured values of  $L$  vary from about 0.05 to 1.6 g m<sup>-3</sup>,  $L_{ad}$  varies from about 0.2 to 3.2 g m<sup>-3</sup>,  $L/L_{ad}$  from about 0.02 to 0.6,  $r_{eff}$  from about 3 to 11 μm, and the maximum droplet number concentration in the cloud,  $N_{ad}$ , from about 230 to 840 cm<sup>-3</sup>." The number concentration in the table is the penetration average,  $N$ , as correctly stated in the captions to Tables 1 and 2, and not the maximum droplet concentration in the cloud,  $N_{ad}$ .

---

Authors X. Zeng, R. A. Pielke, and R. Eykholt report the following error that appeared in their article, "Estimating the fractal dimension and the predictability of the atmosphere" that appeared in the 15 April 1992 issue of *J. Atmos. Sci.*, Vol. 49, No. 8, pp. 649–659: the words "critical embedding dimension" should be replaced by "embedding dimension at which saturation is observed" in the second paragraph on page 654, and the notation " $k_c$ " (in four places) should be replaced by " $k_s$ " in the same paragraph. Dr. A. A. Tsonis is acknowledged for pointing out the error.

Authors Thomas P. Charlock and Fred G. Rose have reported a production error in their note, "Low-frequency variations of circulation and high cloudiness in the northern extratropics: Spatial and temporal relationships," that appeared in the 15 November 1992 issue of *J. Atmos. Sci.*, Vol. 49, No. 22, pp. 2157-2170.

In Fig. 5 on p. 2165 panel (a) was inadvertently duplicated as panel (b). The correct Fig. 5b is shown here.




FIG. 5